arXiv:1304.5653v1 [math.NT] 20 Apr 2013

Sums of negative powers of polynomial functions giving modular forms

Paloma Bengoechea

Collège de France, 3 Rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris, France
paloma@math.jussieu.fr

April 23, 2013

Abstract

Sums of negative powers of quadratic polynomials with integer coefficients and fixed positive discriminant have been introduced by Zagier in connection with the Doi-Naganuma correspondence and turned out to be the Fourier coefficients of the kernel function for the Shimura-Shintani lifts. We study the sums defined in the same way but this time with polynomials having negative discriminant. These functions are meromorphic but still modular, with Fourier coefficients in terms of a modified Bessel function and interesting algebraicity properties. We also prove the convergence of sums defined with polynomials having only real roots and bigger degree which define holomorphic modular forms.

1 Introduction

Eisenstein series are defined as sums of $-k$ powers ($k > 2$ an even integer) of all linear functions with integer coefficients and variable in $\mathcal{H}$ and play a crucial role in the theory of modular forms. It seems natural to look at similar series where we sum over functions with integer coefficients and bigger degree. Sums of this kind, taken over quadratic polynomials with fixed positive discriminant, have been introduced in [Zag75] in connection with the Doi-Naganuma correspondence

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F11, 11F37.
Key words and phrases: convergence of sums of negative powers of polynomials on the complex upper half plane, holomorphic and non-holomorphic modular forms, complex multiplication, Taylor expansion of modular forms, Chowla-Selberg periods.

between elliptic modular forms and Hilbert modular forms. To be more precise, for each discriminant $D > 0$, the sum

$$f_{k,D}(z) \ = \ \pi^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{Z}^3 \\ b^2-4ac=D \\ a>0}} \frac{1}{(az^2+bz+c)^k} \qquad (k \geq 2) \tag{1.1}$$

is a cusp form of weight $2k$ for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ which arose, in the case where D is a fundamental discriminant, considering the restriction to the diagonal $z_1 = z_2$ of a family of Hilbert modular forms $w_m(z_1, z_2)$ $(m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ of weight k for the Hilbert modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of the real quadratic field with discriminant D. The functions $w_m(z_1, z_2)$ are the Fourier coefficients of the kernel function for the Doi-Naganuma correspondence. They are well defined for all positive discriminants D and so is $f_{k,D}(z)$. The Fourier coefficients of $f_{k,D}(z)$ at infinity are calculated in [Zag75] in terms of the Bessel function. Moreover, the functions $2\pi^k D^{k-1/2} f_{k,D}(z)$ turned out to be the Fourier coefficients of the kernel function for the Shimura-Shintani correspondence ([KZ80]). Kohnen and Zagier calculated their period polynomials in [KZ84] as well as Bringmann, Kane and Kohnen in [BKK12] using new modular objects related to the theory of harmonic weak Maass forms. The even parts of the Eichler integrals defined on $\mathbb{R}$ of the functions $f_{k,D}(z)$ for $D > 0$ have several surprising properties and are related to Diophantine approximation, reduction of quadratic forms, special values of zeta functions and Dedekind sums ([Zag99], [Ben13]).

The functions $f_{k,D}(z)$ are defined for negative discriminants as well; in that case they have a non-holomorphic part whose Fourier expansion has algebraic coefficients and which is determined by the points of complex multiplication of discriminant D. In the second section, we prove the convergence of these functions and we calculate their Fourier coefficients in terms of a modified Bessel function. In the third section, we decompose the functions $f_{k,D}(z)$ into a meromorphic part with computable algebraic Fourier coefficients and an holomorphic cuspidal part with transcendent Fourier coefficients, which we will call the transcendent part. We denote by Γ the group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and, for K a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, by $S_{2k}^K(\Gamma)$ the K-vector space of cusp forms of weight $2k$ for Γ with Fourier coefficients (in the expansion at infinity) in K. Writing

$$\Phi_D(z) = H_D(j(z))\, \Delta(z)^{h(D)/w},$$

where $H_D(X)$ is the class polynomial and $h(D)$ is the class number of discriminant D, $w = 1$ if $D \neq -3, -4$; $w = 2$ if $D = -4$, and $w = 3$ if $D = -3$, we have

Theorem I *The function $\Phi_D(z)^k f_{k,D}(z)$ belongs to the space*

$$S^H_{\left(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2\right)k}(\Gamma) \ + \ S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma)\, \Phi_D(z)^k, \tag{1.2}$$

where $H = \mathbb{Q}(j(\mathcal{O}_D), \sqrt{D})$. Moreover, in this decomposition, the modular form in $S^H_{\left(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2\right)k}(\Gamma)$ is computable.

Given $\underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$, denoting by T_n the n-th Hecke operator, acting on the space of meromorphic modular forms of weight $2k$ for Γ, and writing

$$\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n T_n, \qquad f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}}(z) \ = \ f_{k,D}|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z),$$

we can get rid of the transcendent part in the theorem above in the following way:

Theorem II *The function $\Phi_D(z)^k f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ belongs to the space*

$$S^{H}_{\left(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2\right)k}(\Gamma) \ + \ S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma)\,\Phi_D(z)^k, \tag{1.3}$$

where $H = \mathbb{Q}(j(\mathcal{O}_D), \sqrt{D})$. If there exists a weakly holomorphic modular form

$$g_{\underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^{-n} + O(1) \in M^{!}_{2-2k}(\Gamma),$$

then there is no transcendent part in the decomposition above.

In the fourth section, we illustrate the results of section 3 giving the explicit decompositions of the functions $f_{k,D}(z)$ and $f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ (for a convenient $\underline{\lambda}$) for the special cases $D = -3$ and $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$.

In the last section we look at sums of negative powers of polynomials with real roots as in (1.1) and bigger degree. For degree ≥ 4, the discriminant, or even other Γ-invariants, do not fix in general the number of real roots. If we want to define an holomorphic function, we can sum just over a Γ-equivalence class of polynomials with no imaginary roots. For such sums the modularity is immediate, but the convergence is not trivial at all. In the last section we prove the convergence of this kind of sums. More precisely, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem III *Let $Q(X,Y)$ be a real binary form of degree $k \geq 4$ such that the roots of the polynomial $Q(X,1)$ are real with multiplicity less than $\frac{k-1}{2}$. For $z \in \mathcal{H}$, the sum*

$$F_Q(z) \ = \sum_{\substack{(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}^4 \\ ad-bc=1}} \frac{1}{Q(az+b, cz+d)}$$

is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent. It defines a modular form of weight k for Γ.

2 Sums giving meromorphic modular forms: convergence and Fourier coefficients

Given an integer $D < 0$ congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4, and an integer $k \geq 2$ we define, for $z \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$f_{k,D}(z) \;=\; \pi^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{Z}^3 \\ b^2-4ac=D \\ a>0}} \frac{1}{(az^2+bz+c)^k}.$$

The positivity condition of a does not play any important role, we include it to avoid summing twice (once over $[a,b,c]$ and once over $[-a,-b,-c]$). The factor π^{-k} is just a normalization factor.

Given a Γ-equivalence class $\mathcal{A}$ of integer binary quadratic forms with discriminant $D < 0$, we define

$$f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z) \;=\; \pi^{-k} \sum_{\substack{[a,b,c]\in\mathcal{A} \\ a>0}} \frac{1}{(az^2+bz+c)^k} \qquad (z \in \mathcal{H},\, k \geq 2). \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.1 *The sums $f_{k,D}(z)$ and $f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ converge absolutely and uniformly. They are meromorphic modular forms of weight $2k$ for Γ.*

Proof. For $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\pi^k\,|f_{k,D}(z)| \;=\; \sum_{\substack{b^2-4ac=D \\ a>0}} \frac{1}{\left|a\Big(z+\dfrac{b+\sqrt{D}}{2a}\Big)\Big(z+\dfrac{b-\sqrt{D}}{2a}\Big)\right|^k}$$

$$< \sum_{\substack{b^2-4ac=D \\ a>0}} \frac{1}{|a|^k \max\Big(\Big|x+\dfrac{b}{2a}\Big|,\Big|y+\dfrac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2a}\Big|\Big)^k \max\Big(\Big|x+\dfrac{b}{2a}\Big|,\Big|y-\dfrac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2a}\Big|\Big)^k}.$$

For $R > 0$ we will count the number $N(R)$ of elements (a,b) that occur in the last sum such that

$$R \leq |a| \max\Big(\Big|x+\frac{b}{2a}\Big|,\Big|y+\frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2a}\Big|\Big) \max\Big(\Big|x+\frac{b}{2a}\Big|,\Big|y-\frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2a}\Big|\Big) < 2R.$$

The inequality

$$\Big|a\Big(y^2-\frac{|D|}{4a^2}\Big)\Big| < 2R$$

implies $a = O(R)$. For a fixed, the inequality

$$\Big|a\Big(x+\frac{b}{2a}\Big)^2\Big| < 2R$$

implies $b = O(R^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence $N(R) = O(R^{\frac{3}{2}})$ and

$$\pi^k \, |f_{k,D}(z)| \ll \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(2^n)}{2^{nk}} \ll \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(k-\frac{3}{2})}},$$

where the implicit constant does not depend on z. The last sum converges for all $k > \frac{3}{2}$.

The convergence of $f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$, which is a partial sum of $f_{k,D}(z)$, is immediate, and since the sum is taken over a Γ-equivalence class, we obtain the modularity.

The modularity of $f_{k,D}(z)$ follows, on the one hand, from the fact that the discriminant D is an invariant for the action of Γ; on the other hand, from the fact that a is always invariant by translation and, for $D < 0$, the coefficients a and c have the same sign, so the sign of a is also invariant by inversion.

$\square$

Proposition 2.2 *For $z \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\Im(z) > \frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{2}$, the Fourier expansion of the function $f_{k,D}(z)$ is*

$$f_{k,D}(z) = \pi^{-k} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r \, e^{2\pi i r z},$$

$$c_r = \frac{2^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \, \pi^{k+1} \, r^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{|D|^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \, (k-1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \, S_{a,D}(r) \, I_{k-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\frac{\pi r \sqrt{|D|}}{a}\Big), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$S_{a,D}(r) = \sum_{\substack{b \pmod{2a} \\ b^2 \equiv D \pmod{4a}}} e^{\pi i r b/a} \tag{2.3}$$

and $I_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a modified Bessel function, related to the Bessel function of first kind $J_{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ by $I_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = i^{-k+\frac{1}{2}} \, J_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(ix)$.

Proof. We can calculate the Fourier expansion of $f_{k,D}(z)$ breaking the sum:

$$\pi^k f_{k,D}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} f_{k,D}^a(z),$$

where

$$f_{k,D}^a(z) = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z} \\ b^2 \equiv D \pmod{4a}}} \Big(az^2 + bz + \frac{b^2 + |D|}{4a}\Big)^{-k}.$$

We can break the sum again:

$$f_{k,D}^a(z) = \sum_{\substack{b \pmod{2a} \\ b^2 \equiv D \pmod{4a}}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Big(a(z+n)^2 + b(z+n) + \frac{b^2 + |D|}{4a}\Big)^{-k}.$$

The first sum is finite and the r-th Fourier coefficient of the second sum is

$$\int_{-\infty+iC}^{\infty+iC} \Big(az^2+bz+\frac{b^2+|D|}{4a}\Big)^{-k} e^{-2\pi irz}dz \qquad (C>0); \tag{2.4}$$

this integral vanishes for $r \le 0$ when the poles are under the integration line.

We can calculate the integral (2.4) substituing $z = it - \frac{b}{2a}$:

$$\int_{-\infty+iC}^{\infty+iC} \frac{e^{-2\pi irz}}{\Big(az^2+bz+\dfrac{b^2+|D|}{4a}\Big)^k}dz \;=\; -\frac{i\,e^{\pi irb/a}}{a^k}\int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty}\frac{e^{2\pi rt}}{\Big(t^2-\dfrac{|D|}{4a^2}\Big)^k}dt$$

$$= \frac{2^{k+\frac12}\,\pi^{k+1}\,r^{k-\frac12}}{|D|^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac14}\sqrt{a}\,(k-1)!}\,e^{\pi irb/a}\,I_{k-\frac12}\Big(\frac{\pi r\sqrt{|D|}}{a}\Big).$$

(The last integral is calculated in [AS65], (29.3.60)).

□

3 Algebraic properties of Fourier coefficients

For a discriminant $D<0$ we denote by $H_D(X)$ the class polynomial

$$H_D(X) \;=\; \prod_{\mathfrak{z}\in\Gamma\backslash\mathfrak{Z}_D}(X-j(\mathfrak{z}))^{1/w},$$

where $\mathfrak{Z}_D \subset \mathcal{H}$ is the set of CM points of discriminant D and

$$w = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } D=-3 \\ 2 & \text{if } D=-4 \\ 1 & \text{if } D\neq -3,-4. \end{cases}$$

We write

$$H_D(j(z)) \;=\; \frac{\Phi_D(z)}{\Delta(z)^{h(D)/w}} \tag{3.1}$$

with $\Phi_D(z)\in M_{\frac{12h(D)}{w}}(\Gamma)$.

For a Γ-equivalence class $\mathcal{A}$ of binary integer quadratic forms of discriminant $D<0$, we denote by $\mathfrak{z}$ a CM point of $\mathcal{A}$ and we define the modular form for Γ of weight $\frac{12}{w}$ by

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z) \;=\; ((j(z)-j(\mathfrak{z}))\Delta(z))^{1/w} \;=\; \begin{cases} E_4(z) & \text{if } D=-3 \\ E_6(z) & \text{if } D=-4 \\ E_4(z)^3 - j(\mathfrak{z})\,\Delta(z) & \text{if } D\neq -3,-4. \end{cases}$$

The poles in $\mathcal{H}$ of the functions $f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ and $f_{k,D}(z)$ are the respective sets $\Gamma\mathfrak{z}$ and $\Gamma\mathfrak{Z}_D$. But $\Gamma\mathfrak{z}$ and $\Gamma\mathfrak{Z}_D$ are also the respective sets of zeros of the functions $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)$ and $\Phi_D(z)$. Hence the functions $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ and $\Phi_D(z)^k f_{k,D}(z)$ are cusp forms of respective weight $(\frac{12}{w}+2)k$ and $(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2)k$ for Γ.

As for all holomorphic functions on the Poincaré plane, these functions have a Taylor expansion in a neighborhood of a point $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$. The Taylor expansion in the classic sense is not so natural because it only converges on a disk centered in z with radius y, whereas the domain of holomorphy of a modular form is all the half-plane $\mathcal{H}$. For fixed z, the map sending $z' \in \mathcal{H}$ to $w = \dfrac{z'-z}{z'-\bar{z}}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}$ and the open unite disk centered at z; the inverse map sends w to $z' = \dfrac{z-\bar{z}w}{1-w}$. It is more natural to take the expansion of the functions under the action of the transformation $\begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z} & z \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ in power series of w (see (3.3) below).

The derivative of a modular form being not modular, we consider the modified operator of differentiation defined, for a modular form f of weight $2k$, by

$$\partial f(z) \;=\; \frac{1}{2\pi i}\,\frac{df}{dz}(z) - \frac{k}{4\pi y}\,f(z), \tag{3.2}$$

where $y = \Im(z)$. The function $\partial f(z)$ is not holomorphic anymore, but it is modular of weight $2k+2$. If K is an imaginary quadratic field, $z \in K \cap \mathcal{H}$ is a CM point and the Fourier coefficients of f are algebraic, then, for all $n \geq 0$, the value of $\partial^n f(z)$ is an algebraic multiple of the $(2k+2n)$-th power of the Chowla-Selberg period Ω_K defined by

$$\Omega_K \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|D|}}\left(\prod_{m=1}^{|D|-1}\Gamma\Big(\frac{m}{|D|}\Big)^{\chi_D(m)}\right)^{w/2h(D)}.$$

The natural Taylor expansion of f in a neighborhood of a point $z = x+iy \in \mathcal{H}$ in terms of the operator (3.2) is

$$(1-w)^{-2k}\, f\Big(\frac{z-\bar{z}w}{1-w}\Big) \;=\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^n f(z)\,\frac{(4\pi y w)^n}{n!} \qquad (|w|<1). \tag{3.3}$$

The main interest of this expansion is that, after normalization dividing by suitable powers of the period Ω_K, all the coefficients are algebraic (see [BHvGZ08] for more details).

Theorem 3.1 *For $k \geq 2$, we have*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z) \;\in\; S^H_{(\frac{12}{w}+2)k}(\Gamma) \;+\; S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma)\,\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\Phi_D(z)^k f_{k,D}(z) \;\in\; S^H_{(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2)k}(\Gamma) \;+\; S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma)\,\Phi_D(z)^k, \tag{3.5}$$

where $H = \mathbb{Q}(j(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{z})$*. Moreover, in these decompositions, the modular forms in* $S^H_{(\frac{12}{w}+2)k}(\Gamma)$ *and* $S^H_{(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2)k}(\Gamma)$ *are computable.*

Proof. We will prove (3.4) for $w = 1$ to simplify the notations; the proofs of the assertion (3.5) and the cases corresponding to the other two possible values of w are the same.

In the usual fundamental domain for Γ, the function $f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ has only the pole $\mathfrak{z} = x + iy$, which is the root in $\mathcal{H}$ of any reduced form $[a, b, c] \in \mathcal{A}$. From definition (2.1) we have, in a neighborhood of this point,

$$f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z) \;=\; \frac{1}{(\pi a(z-\mathfrak{z})(z-\bar{\mathfrak{z}}))^k} + O(1). \tag{3.6}$$

Replacing z in (3.6) by $\dfrac{\mathfrak{z} - \bar{\mathfrak{z}}w}{1-w}$, we have

$$(1-w)^{-2k} f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}\Big(\frac{\mathfrak{z} - \bar{\mathfrak{z}}w}{1-w}\Big) \;=\; \frac{w^{-k}}{\pi^k\,(2aiy)^{2k}} + O(1) \qquad (|w| < 1). \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\mathfrak{z}$ is a zero of order k for $\Phi^k_{\mathcal{A}}(z)$, the first non-zero coefficient in the Taylor expansion of $\Phi^k_{\mathcal{A}}(z)$ is the coefficient of the k-th power of w and thus we can compute the Taylor expansion (3.3) of $\Phi^k_{\mathcal{A}}\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}$ up to the $(k-1)$-st power (inclued):

$$\Phi^k_{\mathcal{A}}\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}} \;=\; \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \partial^{k+n}\Phi^k_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})\,\frac{(4\pi y w)^n}{(n+k)!\,a^{2k}\,(-y)^k} + O(w^k). \tag{3.8}$$

Since the function $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ belongs to the space $S_{14k}(\Gamma)$, it can be written as

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z) = *\, E_4(z)^\delta\, E_6(z)^\epsilon \Delta(z)^M, \tag{3.9}$$

where $*$ is a complex constant and

$$14k = 4\delta + 6\epsilon + 12M,$$

with $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, $M = \dim(S_{14k}(\Gamma)) = \left[\frac{14k}{12}\right]$. Moreover, the triple (δ, ϵ, M) and the constant $*$ are uniquely determined.

The functions

$$\Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}(z) \;=\; (j(z) - j(\mathfrak{z}))^m\, E_4(z)^\delta\, E_6(z)^\epsilon\, \Delta(z)^M \qquad (0 \le m \le M-1)$$

are a basis for the space $S_{14k}(\Gamma)$. We can express the function $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z)$ in this basis:

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(z)^k\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}(z) \;=\; \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_m\, \Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}(z). \tag{3.10}$$

The functions $\dfrac{\Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}(z)}{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^k(z)}$ are cusp forms of weight $2k$ when $k \le m \le M-1$. Let us compute the coefficients c_m for $0 \le m \le k-1$.

The first non-zero coefficient in the Taylor expansion (3.3) of $\Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}$ in a neighborhood of $\mathfrak{z}$ is the coefficient of the term of order m. Comparing the Taylor expansion of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^k\, f_{k,D,\mathcal{A}}$ in a neighborhood of $\mathfrak{z}$ obtained from (3.7) and the one given by the expression (3.10) up to the $(k-1)$-st power, we make explicit the constants c_m for $0 \le m \le k-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \frac{\partial^k \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathfrak{z})}{k!\, a^{2k}\, (-y)^k\, \Psi_{0,\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})}, \\ c_m &= \frac{m!\, \partial^{m+k} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^k(\mathfrak{z})}{(m+k)!\, a^{2k}\, (-y)^k\, \partial^m \Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})} - \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} c_n\, \partial^m \Psi_{n,\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})}{\partial^m \Psi_{m,\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j, l \ge 0$, the values of $\partial^j\, \Psi_{l,\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})$ and $\partial^{j+k} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{z})^k$ belong to $\mathbb{Q}(j(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{z})\, \Omega_D^{14k+2j}$, so the coefficients c_m belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}(j(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{z})$ for all $0 \le m \le k-1$.

□

In the decompositions occurring in (3.4) and (3.5) there is a transcendent cuspidal part which is not computable. We will show below how one can take certain finite linear combinations of the functions $f_{k,D}(z)$ in order to get rid of the transcendent part.

For each prime p, the action of the p-th Hecke operator T_p on $f_{k,D}$ can be expressed in the closed form

$$f_{k,D}|_{2k} T_p = p^{2k-1} f_{k,Dp^2} + \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) p^{k-1} f_{k,D} + f_{k,\frac{D}{p^2}} \tag{3.11}$$

(with the convention $f_{k,\frac{D}{p^2}} = 0$ if $p^2 \nmid D$). The proof of this relation is exactly the same as the proof of the analogous statement for non-holomorphic modular forms of weight zero given in [Zag76] (proof of equation (36)). The relation (3.11) can be extended to the action of the n-th Hecke operator T_n, where n is not necessarily prime by

$$f_{k,D}|_{2k} T_n = \sum_{\substack{u|n \\ v|n}} * f_{k,D\frac{u}{v}^2}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $*$ is a factor depending on u and v.

Given $\underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \ge 2$, we write

$$\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n T_n, \qquad f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}}(z) = f_{k,D}|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z).$$

Theorem 3.2 *For $k \geq 2$, the function $\Phi_D(z)^k f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ belongs to the space*

$$S^H_{\left(\frac{12h(D)}{w}+2\right)k}(\Gamma) \;+\; S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma)\,\Phi_D(z)^k, \tag{3.13}$$

where $H = \mathbb{Q}(j(\mathcal{O}_D), \sqrt{D})$. If there exists a weakly holomorphic modular form

$$g_{\underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^{-n} + O(1) \in M^!_{2-2k}(\Gamma), \tag{3.14}$$

then there is no transcendent part in the decomposition (3.13)*.*

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Theorem 3.1. If there exists a weakly holomorphic modular form such as 3.14, then, by [Via11] (Proposition 1),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n c_n = 0 \quad \text{for any } f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n \in S_{2k}(\Gamma). \tag{3.15}$$

If $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n$ is a cusp form of weight $2k$ for Γ, so is $T_m(f)$, which Fourier expansion is ([BHvGZ08], §4.1)

$$T_m(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{\substack{a|n \\ a|m}} a^{k-1} c_{nm/a^2} \Big) q^n. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence (3.15) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \Big(\sum_{\substack{a|n \\ a|m}} a^{k-1} c_{nm/a^2} \Big) = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

but the expression in (3.17) is the m-th coefficient in the Fourier expansion of $f|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}$, thus $f|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} = 0$. In particular, if we denote by $f(z)$ the transcendent part in the decomposition

$$f_{k,D}(z) \;\in\; S^H_{(\frac{12}{w}+2)k}(\Gamma)/\Phi_D(z)^k \;+\; S^{\mathbb{C}}_{2k}(\Gamma),$$

we have $f|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} = 0$.

□

Remark 3.3 The argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 can be stated in a more general form: for $k \geq 2$ and $\underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$, the following assertions are equivalent

(i) $f|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} = 0$ for any cusp form $f \in S_{2k}(\Gamma)$,

(ii) there exists a weakly holomorphic modular form

$$g_{\underline{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n q^{-n} + O(1) \in M^!_{2-2k}(\Gamma).$$

This comes from the equivalence of statement (ii) and the condition (3.15) ([Via11], Proposition 1). Indeed, we already proved that (ii) implies (i). The converse is also true because from the Taylor expansion (3.16) for a cusp form $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n$, we deduce that the function $f|\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}$ in statement (i) is identically zero if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \Big(\sum_{\substack{a|n \\ a|m}} a^{k-1} c_{n\mu/a^2} \Big) = 0 \qquad \forall m \geq 1, \tag{3.18}$$

and for $m = 1$ (3.18) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n c_n = 0.$$

4 Explicit decompositions in some special cases

In this section we give the explicit decomposition (3.5) for $D = -3$ and $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$, and a $\underline{\lambda}$ such that, for k in the set before, $f_{k,-3,\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ has no transcendent part in the decomposition (3.13).

There is only one Γ-equivalence class of binary quadratic forms of discriminant -3; we denote by $[1, 1, 1]$ the reduced quadratic form in this class and by $z_{-3} = \dfrac{1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}$ its root in $\mathcal{H}$. The Hilbert extension of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}i)$ is trivial. We give the details of the calculations for $f_{6,-3}(z)$ and a table with the calculated expressions of $f_{k,-3}(z)$ for $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$.

For $k = 6$, the space $S_{36}(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\Delta^3(z), \Delta^2(z)E_4^3(z), \Delta(z)E_4^6(z)$. There are three complex constants C_0, C_1, C_2 such that

$$E_4^6(z) f_{6,-3}(z) = C_0 \Delta(z)^3 + C_1 \Delta(z)^2 E_4(z)^3 + C_2 \Delta(z) E_4(z)^6. \tag{4.1}$$

In order to calculate C_0 and C_1 we can compare the Taylor expansions in a neighborhood of z_{-3} from both sides of the equality (4.1). We have

$$(1-w)^{-36} \Delta^3 \Big(\frac{z_{-3} - \overline{z_{-3}} w}{1-w} \Big) = -\, \Omega_{-3}^{36} - 2^3 \cdot 3\, \pi^3\, \Omega_{-3}^{42}\, w^3 + O(w^6);$$

$$(1-w)^{-36} \Delta^2 E_4^3 \Big(\frac{z_{-3} - \overline{z_{-3}} w}{1-w} \Big) = -2^{12} \cdot 3^3\, \pi^3\, \Omega_{-3}^{42}\, w^3 + O(w^6);$$

$$(1-w)^{-36} \Delta E_4^6 \Big(\frac{z_{-3} - \overline{z_{-3}} w}{1-w} \Big) = O(w^6);$$

$$(1-w)^{-24} E_4^6 \Big(\frac{z_{-3} - \overline{z_{-3}} w}{1-w} \Big) = 2^{24} \cdot 3^6\, \pi^6\, \Omega_{-3}^{36}\, w^6 - 2^{25} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5\, \pi^9\, \Omega_{-3}^{42}\, w^9 + O(w^{12});$$

$$(1-w)^{-12} f_{6,-3} \Big(\frac{z_{-3} - \overline{z_{-3}} w}{1-w} \Big) = \frac{w^{-6}}{\pi^6\, 3^6} + O(1).$$

The equation (4.1) becomes

$$2^{24}\,\Omega_{-3}^{36} - 2^{25}\cdot 3\cdot 5\,\pi^3\,\Omega_{-3}^{42}\,w^3 + O(w^6) = -C_0\,\Omega_{-3}^{36} - (2^3\cdot 3\,C_0 + 2^{12}\cdot 3^3\,C_1)\,\pi^3\,\Omega_{-3}^{42}\,w^3 + O(w^6).$$

If we compare the constant terms and the cubic powers of w, we find

$$C_0 = -2^{24}, \qquad C_1 = 2^{13}.$$

Hence

$$f_{6,-3} = \frac{-2^{24}\Delta^3 + 2^{13}\Delta^2 E_4^3}{E_4^6} + C_2\Delta,$$

where C_2 is the coefficient of q in the Fourier expansion of $f_{6,-3}(z)$, given by (2.2), and has numerical value $C_2 \approx -550.5139$.

For $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$ the expressions of $f_{k,-3}$ are listed in the table below:

k	$f_{k,-3}$
2	$-2^8\dfrac{\Delta}{E_4^2}$
3	$-\dfrac{2^9 E_6\,\Delta}{3\sqrt{3}\,E_4^3}$
4	$\dfrac{2^{16}\cdot 3^2\,\Delta^2 - 2^6\Delta\,E_4^3}{3\,E_4^4}$
5	$\dfrac{2^{17}\cdot 3^3 E_6\,\Delta^2 - 2^5\cdot 13\,E_4^3\,E_6\,\Delta}{3^4\sqrt{3}\,E_4^5}$
6	$\dfrac{-2^{24}\Delta^3 + 2^{13}\Delta^2 E_4^3}{E_4^6} + C\Delta,$ where $C \approx -550.5139$
7	$\dfrac{-2^{25}\cdot 3^5\cdot 5\,E_6\,\Delta^3 + 2^{13}\cdot 3^2\cdot 31\,E_4^3\,E_6\,\Delta^2 - 2^7 E_4^6\,E_6\,\Delta}{3^6\cdot 5\sqrt{3}\,E_4^7}$

If $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$ then,

$$\frac{E_{14-2k}(z)}{\Delta(z)} = q^{-1} + O(1) \in M^!_{2-2k}(\Gamma).$$

For any discriminant $D < 0$, if we chose $\underline{\lambda} = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, then $f_{k,D,\underline{\lambda}} = f_{k,D}$, and there is no transcendent part in the decomposition (3.5) (for $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, the space $S_{2k}(\Gamma)$ is trivial).

For $k = 6$, since

$$\frac{E_4(z)^2 E_6(z)}{\Delta(z)^2} = q^{-2} + 24q^{-1} + O(1) \in M^!_{-10}(\Gamma),$$

if we chose $\underline{\lambda} = (24, 1, 0, 0, \ldots)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{6,D,\underline{\lambda}} &= 24\, f_{6,D}|T_2 + f_{6,D} \\ &= 24\left(2^{11} f_{6,4D} + 2^5 \left(\frac{D}{2}\right) f_{6,D} + f_{6,\frac{D}{4}}\right) + f_{6,D}. \end{aligned}$$

For the special case $D = -3$, we calculated before the function $f_{6,-3}$, which has a transcendent cuspidal part:

$$f_{6,-3} = \frac{-2^{24}\Delta^3 + 2^{13}\Delta^2 E_4^3}{E_4^6} + C\Delta;$$

also the function $f_{6,-12}$ has a transcendent cuspidal part:

$$f_{6,-12} = \frac{-2^{12}\cdot 3^2\Delta^3 + 2\cdot 41\,\Delta^2 E_4^3}{3^2 E_4^6} + C'\Delta,$$

but the new combination $f_{6,-3,\underline{\lambda}}$ is again purely algebraic:

$$f_{6,-3,\underline{\lambda}} = \frac{-2^{26}\cdot 6089\,\Delta^3 - 2^{13}\cdot 89\,\Delta^2 E_4^3}{3E_4^6}.$$

5 Sums giving holomorphic modular forms

In the same way we replaced linear functions in Eisenstein series by quadratic functions, we can ask what about using functions of bigger degree. The study of the properties of sums of negative powers of polynomials of bigger degree becomes more difficult. In this section, we prove the convergence of such sums when the roots of the occuring polynomials are all real and satisfy a condition on their multiplicity. Once the convergence of these sums is proved, the modularity and the holomorphy follow directly from the definition. This first positive approach to the study of such sums lets us hope more could be done in a future.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $Q(X, Y)$ be an real binary form with degree $k \geq 4$ such that the roots of the polynomial $Q(X, 1)$ are all real with multiplicity less than $\frac{k-1}{2}$. For $z \in \mathcal{H}$, the sum*

$$F_Q(z) \;=\; \sum_{\substack{(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}^4 \\ ad-bc=1}} \frac{1}{Q(az+b, cz+d)}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly. It defines a modular form of weight k for Γ.

Proof. We write $Q(X,Y) = A\prod_{j=1}^m (X-\alpha_j Y)^{k_j}$ with distinct $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = \sum_{j=1}^m k_j$ and $k_j < \frac{k-1}{2}$. For $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$S := \sum_{ad-bc=1} \frac{1}{|Q(az+b, cz+d)|} = |A|^{-k} \sum_{ad-bc=1} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m |az+b-\alpha_j(cz+d)|^{k_j}}$$
$$\ll \sum_{ad-bc=1} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \max(|b-\alpha_j d|, |a-\alpha_j c|)^{k_j}},$$

where the implicit constant depends on z, but it is still bounded for z in a compact subset of $\mathcal{H}$.

The subsum of S over the terms (a,b,c,d) where $c=0$ or $d=0$ is bounded by the sum $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \dfrac{1}{n^k}$, which converges because $k \geq 4$.

As from now we will suppose that neither c nor d are zero.

Clearly we have $S \leq \sum_{r=1}^m S_r$, where

$$S_r = \sum_{\substack{ad-bc=1 \\ \min_j |a-\alpha_j c| = |a-\alpha_r c|}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m \max(|b-\alpha_j d|, |a-\alpha_j c|)^{k_j}}.$$

We will discuss the convergence of each sum S_r. We write $\lambda = \dfrac{\min_{j\neq r}|\alpha_r - \alpha_j|}{4}$. Let us suppose $|cd| \leq \lambda$, in which case $\left|\dfrac{a}{c}\right| \geq |a|\lambda^{-1}$ and $\left|\dfrac{b}{d}\right| \geq |b|\lambda^{-1}$. Then, up to a finite number of cases, $\min_j \left|\dfrac{a}{c} - \alpha_j\right| = \left|\dfrac{a}{c} - \alpha_r\right|$ implies $|\alpha_r| > |\alpha_j|$ for all $j \neq r$ and $\min_j \left|\dfrac{b}{d} - \alpha_j\right| = \left|\dfrac{b}{d} - \alpha_r\right|$. Hence $\min_j |b-\alpha_j d| = |b-\alpha_r d|$, up to a finite number of (a,b,c,d).

Now we suppose $|cd| > \lambda$, in which case $\left|\dfrac{a}{c} - \dfrac{b}{d}\right| < \lambda$. Either $\left|\dfrac{a}{c} - \alpha_r\right| \leq \lambda$ and, in this case, $\left|\dfrac{b}{d} - \alpha_r\right| < 2\lambda$, so $\min_j |b-\alpha_j d| = |b-\alpha_r d|$. Either $\left|\dfrac{a}{c} - \alpha_r\right| > \lambda$ and, in this case, $|a-\alpha_j c| \gg |c|$ and $|b-\alpha_j d| \gg |d|$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$, so the subsum of S_r over these (a,b,c,d) is bounded by the sum

$$\Big(\sum_{c\geq 1,\, d\geq 1} \frac{1}{\max(|c|,|d|)^k}\Big)\Big(\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^k}\Big),$$

which converges because $k > 2$.

Therefore from now on we will suppose $\min_j |b-\alpha_j d| = |b-\alpha_r d|$ for all terms (a,b,c,d) occurring in S_r.

Moreover, for all $j \neq r$, $|\frac{a}{c} - \alpha_j| > \lambda$ because of the definition of λ, so $|a - \alpha_j c| \gg |c|$; in a similar way $|b - \alpha_j d| \gg |d|$. Hence

$$S_r \ll \Big(\sum_{c \geq 1,\ d \geq 1} \frac{1}{\max(|c|,|d|)^{k-k_r} \max(|b-\alpha_r d|, |a - \alpha_r c|)^{k_r}} \Big) \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^k} \Big).$$

In the right hand side of the inequality, the second sum converges because $k \geq 4$; we denote by S'_r the first sum.

If $\max(|b - \alpha_r d|, |a - \alpha_r c|) \geq 1$, then

$$S'_r \ll \sum_{c \geq 1,\ d \geq 1} \frac{1}{\max(|c|,|d|)^{k-k_r}},$$

and this sum converges if and only if $k_r < k - 2$.

Let us suppose $\max(|b - \alpha_r d|, |a - \alpha_r c|) < 1$. If c is given, then $|a|$, $|b|$ and $|d|$ are bounded by $|c|$. Indeed, if $|a - \alpha_r c| < 1$, then $|a \pm 2 - \alpha_r c| \geq 1$, so for every c, there are only three possible values for a. For a fixed pair (a, c), if (b, d) is a solution of the equation $aY - cX = 1$ satisfying $|b - \alpha_r d| < 1$, then the other solutions satisfying to the same inequality are of the form $(b + K, d + K)$ with $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|K| < \dfrac{2}{|1 - \alpha_r|}$; so there is only a finite number of them.

If $\max(|b - \alpha_r d|, |a - \alpha_r c|) \geq \dfrac{1}{2\max(|c|,|d|)}$, then

$$S'_r \ll \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{1}{c^{k - 2k_r}}$$

and this sum converges if and only if $k_r < \frac{k-1}{2}$.

If $\max(|b - \alpha_r d|, |a - \alpha_r c|) < \dfrac{1}{2\max(|c|,|d|)}$, then $\dfrac{a}{c}$ and $\dfrac{b}{d}$ are some convergents for the standard positive expansion as a continued fraction of α_r that we suppose different from α_r, in case that α_r is rational. We denote by $\dfrac{p_n}{q_n}$ and $\dfrac{p_{n+j}}{q_{n+j}}$ $(j \geq 1)$ these convergents; we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S'_r &\ll \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{q_{n+j}^{k-k_r} \, |p_n - q_n \alpha_r|^{k_r}} \\
&\ll \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q_{n+j}^{k_r}}{q_{n+j-1}^{k-k_r}} \qquad \Big(|p_n - q_n \alpha_r| > \frac{1}{q_{n+j+1}}\Big) \\
&\ll \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{q_{n+j-1}^{k-2k_r}} \qquad (q_{n+j} = a_{n+j} q_{n+j-1} + q_{n+j-2}) \\
&\ll \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{(n+j-1)(k-2k_r)}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the last sum converges if $k_r < \frac{k}{2}$.

$\square$

Acknowledgements. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Don Zagier for his precious advice in discussing mathematics. I would like to thank Professor Pilar Bayer for her careful reading and her valuable comments on this paper.

References

[AS65] Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I.: *Handbook of mathematical functions*, New York: Dover (1965).

[Ben13] Bengoechea, P.: *From continued fractions and quadratic functions to modular forms*, arXiv:1301.7024 [math.NT] (2013).

[BKK12] Bringmann, K.; Kane, B.; Kohnen, W.: *Locally harmonic Maass forms and rational period functions*, arXiv:1206.1100v1 [math.NT] (2012).

[BHvGZ08] Bruinier, J.; Harder, G.; van der Geer, G.; Zagier, D.: *The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms*: Lectures at a Summer School in Nordfjordeid, Norway (ed. K. Ranestad) Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (2008).

[KZ80] Kohnen, W.; Zagier, D.: *Values of L-series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip*, Invent. Math. 64 (1980) 175-198.

[KZ84] Kohnen, W.; Zagier, D.: *Modular forms with rational periods*, in: Modular Forms, R.A. Rankin (ed.), Ellis Horwood, Chichechester (1984) 197-249.

[Via11] Viazovska, M: *CM Values of Higher Green's Functions*, arXiv:1110.4654 [math.NT], October 2011.

[Zag75] Zagier, D.: *Modular forms associated to real quadratic fields*, Invent. Math. 30 (1975) 1-46.

[Zag76] Zagier, D.: *On the values at negative integers of the zeta-function of a real quadratic field*, Enseign. Math. (2) 22 (1976) 55-95.

[Zag99] Zagier, D.: *From quadratic functions to modular functions*, Number Theory in Progress. Vol 2 (K. Gyory, H. Iwaniec and J. Urbanowicz, eds.), Proceedings of Internat. Conference on Number Theory, Zakopane 1997, de Gruyter, Berlin (1999) 1147-1178.